Inter- and Intrachain Associations of an Ethylene–Vinyl Acetate Random Copolymer in Dilute 1,2-Dichloroethane Solutions
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ABSTRACT: Laser light scattering (LLS) has been used to study an ethylene–vinyl acetate (EVA) random copolymer in 1,2-dichloroethane. The EVA solution was treated using two different thermal processes: (1) quickly quenching from 70 °C to 0 °C; and (2) slowly cooling from 70 °C to room temperature and annealing at room temperature for 24 h before further quenching to 0 °C. The LLS results reveal the formation of larger EVA aggregates in both of the thermal processes. After the EVA solution was reheated from 0 °C to a higher temperature, we found that the size of the EVA aggregates formed in the fast cooling process is nearly independent of temperature in the range of 15–30 °C, but the size of the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process increases and reaches a maximum in the same temperature range. In both of the cases, the EVA aggregates dissociate at higher temperatures. The formation kinetics of the EVA aggregates at 40 °C and the effect of the annealing time at room temperature were also investigated. All results lead us to realize that in the fast cooling process the EVA aggregates were formed mostly through the aggregation (packing) of individual EVA collapsed chains (intrachain aggregation), while in the slow cooling process, the EVA aggregates are microgel-like particles formed through the winding of the EVA chains (interchain association). We also found that there is a good correlation between the size of the EVA aggregates and the pour point of a wax solution doped with EVA.

Introduction

It is known that ethylene–vinyl acetate (EVA) copolymers have a broad range of applications, such as in adhesives, coatings, and additives.1 Recently, much attention has been paid to the applications of using EVA copolymers and their derivatives to improve the flowing of crude oil, diesel, and other base oils at low temperature,2–4 such as in depressing the pour point and reducing the oil viscosity. It has been suggested that the ability of improving the flowing properties of oils might be related to the polymer chain dimension of the pour-point depressant in solution and also to the thermal history of the oil after doped with the pour-point depressant.5–8 However, there is no direct microscopic evidence to link the improvement of the flowing behavior of oil to microscopic molecular parameters of the pour-point depressant doped in the oil. Previously, we have used viscometry to study the concentration and temperature dependence of the association of the EVA copolymers in different solvents, such as 1,2-dichloroethane, cyclohexane, xylene, and chloroform.9 We found that the EVA chains can form a stronger association in the 1,2-dichloroethane at low temperature in comparison with in other solvents, but viscosity cannot directly provide us microscopic information. In this study, both static and dynamic laser light scattering (LLS) were used to investigate an ethylene–vinyl acetate random copolymer in 1,2-dichloroethane because LLS as a well-established method has been widely used to study the chain dynamics of macromolecules and the association of block copolymers in solution.10–13 We intend to find the status of the EVA chains in 1,2-dichloroethane after the EVA solution was treated using different thermal processes. We also wish to establish a correlation between the solution properties of EVA and the flowing behavior of the oil doped with EVA. The ultimate objective of this study is to have a better understanding of the fundamentals related to the polymer chain dimension in oils, so that we will be able to choose and design a better pour-point depressant.

Experimental Section

Sample. The ethylene–vinyl acetate (EVA) sample used was a fraction of a commercial EAV product from the Chemical Engineering Institute of Shanghai. Its number-average molar mass (2.97 × 104), polydispersity index (1.63), and vinyl acetate content (30.8%) were respectively determined using a Knauer membrane osmometer, Waters 150 size excluded chromatography (SEC), and a Carlo Erta-1106 element analyzer. First, the EVA sample was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane at 45 °C, and then the solution was heated to ~60 °C and clarified directly into a dust-free LLS cell by 0.22 μm Millipore filter. The solution after the clarification was sealed and heated to 70 °C and maintained at 70 °C for at least 1 h to insure a complete dissociation of the EVA chains. The solution was then respectively treated using fast and slow cooling processes. In the fast process, the EVA solution was quickly quenched from 70 to 0 °C and kept at 0 °C for 30 min before being reheated to a higher measurement temperature, while in the slow process, the EVA solution was slowly cooled from 70 °C to room temperature by switching off the heating bath and annealed at room temperature for 24 h before a final quenching from room temperature to 0 °C. Except as stated otherwise, the measurements were conducted after equilibration at each temperature.

Laser Light Scattering (LLS). A modified commercial LLS spectrometer (ALV/SP-125) equipped with a multi-digital time correlation (ALV5000) and a solid-state laser (ADLAS DPY425I, output power = 400 mw at λo = 532 nm) as light source was used. The incident beam was vertically polarized with respect to the scattering plane. The detail of LLS instrumentation and theory can be found elsewhere.14–16 In dynamic LLS, the measured time correlation functions were
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\[\text{Figure 1. Typical temperature dependence of the apparent average hydrodynamic radius (} R_h^{\text{app}} \text{) of the EVA aggregates in 1,2-dichloroethane, where the filled and hollow symbols, respectively, represent the EVA solution treated using the fast and slow cooling processes. The subscript "app" indicates that the values of } R_h \text{ were obtained at a finite scattering angle of } 30°.\]

\[\text{Figure 2. Temperature dependence of specific Rayleigh ratio } R_{vv}(\theta)/C \text{ of three EVA solutions after being treated using the two different thermal processes, where } R_{vv}(\theta) \text{ is the Rayleigh ratio, } \theta \text{ is } 30°, \text{ and } C \text{ is the EVA concentration.}\]

\[\text{Figure 3. Schematic of the dissolution of two types of the EVA aggregation respectively formed in the two different thermal processes.}\]

\[\text{Figure 4. Typical temperature dependence of the apparent average hydrodynamic radius (} R_h^{\text{app}} \text{) of the EVA aggregates in 1,2-dichloroethane, where the filled and hollow symbols, respectively, represent the EVA solution treated using the fast and slow cooling processes. As stated before, after cooling the sample to } 0\degree C, \text{ we reheated the sample to the measured temperature and waited for } \sim 30 \text{ min to make sure that the sample reached a temperature equilibrium. Each heating step took } \sim 1 \text{ h. It should be stated that the processes are practically reproducible. The subscript "app" indicates that the values of } R_h \text{ were obtained at a finite scattering angle of } 30°. \text{ For the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process, } R_h^{\text{app}} \text{ gradually increases to a maximum as temperature increases in the range } 15-30\degree C, \text{ while for the EVA aggregates formed in the fast cooling process (} R_h^{\text{app}} \text{) is nearly independent of temperature in the same temperature range. In both of these cases, } R_h^{\text{app}} \text{ starts to decrease at } \sim 30 \text{ °C and approaches a similar value at } \sim 50 \degree C, \text{ reflecting the dissolution of the EVA aggregates. It should be stated that at } 15 \text{ °C the EVA aggregates are very stable. Figure 1 shows that for a similar EVA concentration the size of the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process is larger than that formed in the fast cooling process. On the other hand, for a given cooling process, the size of the EVA aggregates formed in a higher EVA concentration is higher there are more chances for individual EVA chains to aggregate with each other.}\]

\[\text{Results and Discussion}\]

\[\text{Figure 1 shows the typical temperature dependence of the apparent average hydrodynamic radius (} R_h^{\text{app}} \text{) of the EVA aggregates in 1,2-dichloroethane, where the filled and hollow symbols, respectively, represent the EVA solution treated using the fast and slow cooling processes. As stated before, after cooling the sample to } 0\degree C, \text{ we reheated the sample to the measured temperature and waited for } \sim 30 \text{ min to make sure that the sample reached a temperature equilibrium. Each heating step took } \sim 1 \text{ h. It should be stated that the processes are practically reproducible. The subscript "app" indicates that the values of } R_h \text{ were obtained at a finite scattering angle of } 30°. \text{ For the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process, } R_h^{\text{app}} \text{ gradually increases to a maximum as temperature increases in the range } 15-30\degree C, \text{ while for the EVA aggregates formed in the fast cooling process (} R_h^{\text{app}} \text{) is nearly independent of temperature in the same temperature range. In both of these cases, } R_h^{\text{app}} \text{ starts to decrease at } \sim 30 \text{ °C and approaches a similar value at } \sim 50 \degree C, \text{ reflecting the dissolution of the EVA aggregates. It should be stated that at } 15 \text{ °C the EVA aggregates are very stable. Figure 1 shows that for a similar EVA concentration the size of the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process is larger than that formed in the fast cooling process. On the other hand, for a given cooling process, the size of the EVA aggregates formed in a higher EVA concentration is higher there are more chances for individual EVA chains to aggregate with each other.} \]
and dissociation of the EVA aggregates, are expected. For the EVA aggregates formed through the “packing” of individual collapsed EVA chains in the fast cooling process, the dissociation should be faster than the swelling because there is no strong interchain association between the collapsed EVA chains. This is why $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$ decreases as temperature increases. On the other hand, for the EVA aggregates formed through the winding of the EVA chains in the slow cooling process, the microgel-like EVA particle has to swell before its dissociation. This explains the initial increase of $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$ shown in Figure 1. In both of the cases, the dissociation leads to the decrease of the molar mass of the EVA aggregates, resulting in the decrease of $R_{\text{ww}}(\theta)/C$ shown in Figure 2. It is not difficult to realize that the dissociation of the EVA aggregates formed through the packing of the collapsed EVA chains is faster and easier than that consisting of the wound EVA chains. It is worth noting that the solutions are not in a state of thermodynamic equilibrium; namely, if the solution is annealed at high temperatures for a sufficiently long time, they will reach the same state in spite of different thermal histories.

Parts a and b of Figure 4 show typical hydrodynamic radius distributions of the EVA aggregates after the EVA solution was respectively treated by the slow and fast cooling processes and then reheated from 0 °C to different measurement temperatures. It clearly shows that, as temperature increases, the EVA aggregates become smaller and smaller. Simultaneously, the second peak located at the smaller $R_h$ side appears in $f(R_h)$, indicating that parts of the EVA aggregates have disintegrated. At an even higher temperature, all EVA aggregates have dissociated into small fragments and even individual EVA chains. It should be noted that the EVA aggregates formed in the fast cooling process dissociate at a much lower temperature, indicating a weaker intrachain association and supporting the model presented in Figure 3.

Figure 5 shows typical time dependence of the hydrodynamic radius distribution of the EVA aggregates after the EVA solution was treated by the slow cooling process and then reheated from 0 to 40 °C. Initially, the EVA aggregates were very narrowly distributed with only one peak. After the solution was allowed to stand at 40 °C for 232 h, the peak became broader and a second peak located at $\sim 10$ nm appeared in $f(R_h)$. The broadening of the first peak indicates the swelling of the EVA aggregates in the solution, and the appearance of the second peak shows the dissolution of the EVA aggregates at 40°C. Figure 5 also clearly shows that the result presented in Figures 1 and 2 at each temperature should be very slowly dependent on time.

Figure 6 shows the annealing time dependence of the hydrodynamic radius distribution of the EVA aggregates formed in the slow cooling process, where $f(R_h)$s were determined after the EVA solutions were reheated from 0 to 40 °C. As expected, a longer annealing time leads to larger EVA aggregates because when the EVA solution was annealed at 23 °C for 10 min, the peak became broader and a second peak located at $\sim 10$ nm appeared in $f(R_h)$. The broadening of the first peak indicates the swelling of the EVA aggregates in the solution, and the appearance of the second peak shows the dissolution of the EVA aggregates at 40°C. Figure 6 further indicates that in the slow cooling process the aggrega-
Relations lead to no explanation at this moment. These two linear equations represent the least square fittings of $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}} = 64.6 + 129 \log(t)$ and $R_v(\theta)/C = 6.01 \times 10^{-3} + 8.86 \times 10^{-3} \log(t)$.

Figure 7. Aggregation kinetics of the EVA chains after the EVA solution was slowly cooled from 70 to 40 °C, where $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$ is the apparent average hydrodynamic radius, $R_v(\theta)$ is the Rayleigh ratio, and $C$ is the EVA concentration. The two lines represent a least-squares fitting of $\langle R_v \rangle_{\text{app}} = 64.6 + 129 \log(t)$ and $R_v(\theta)/C = 6.01 \times 10^{-3} + 8.86 \times 10^{-3} \log(t)$.

Figure 8. Plot of $\langle R_v \rangle_{\text{app}}$ vs $R_v(\theta)/C$, when the line represents a least-squares fitting of $\langle R_v \rangle = -101 + 2.05 \times 10^4 R_v(\theta)/C$.

Figure 9. Solvent composition dependence of the apparent average hydrodynamic radius ($\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$) of the EVA aggregates and the change of the pour point ($\Delta T_{\text{pp}}$) in the cyclohexane/1,2-dichloroethane mixture containing 10 wt% of wax and 300 ppm of EVA.

So far we have demonstrated that by controlling the cooling rate, the annealing time and the temperature at which the EVA aggregates are formed, the size of the EVA aggregates can be varied. The next important question is how the size of the EVA aggregates can be correlated to the flowing properties of the oil doped with EVA. Figure 9 shows the solvent composition dependence of the apparent average hydrodynamic radius ($\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$) of the EVA aggregates and the change of the pour point ($\Delta T_{\text{pp}}$) in the cyclohexane/1,2-dichloroethane mixture containing 10 wt% of wax and 300 ppm of EVA. It clearly shows that when the composition of the mixture reaches ~0.6 the addition of EVA has nearly no effect on the pour point anymore. In the composition range of 60–100%, the values of $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{app}}$ reveal that most of the EVA chains exist as individual chains in the solvent mixture. Figure 9 also shows that the larger the EVA aggregates, the larger the decrease of the pour point. It is interesting to find that the macroscopic decrease of the pour point of an oil is related to the microscopic association of the EVA chains in the solvent mixture.

Conclusions

This study shows that the intrachain and interchain associations of ethylene–vinyl acetate (EVA) in 1,2-dichloroethane can be greatly influenced by the thermal process; namely, in a fast cooling process, the EVA aggregates are formed mainly through the “packing” of individual collapsed chains, while, in a slow cooling process, the EVA aggregates are microgel-like particles formed mainly through the winding of the EVA chains and fibrous-like aggregates. The particle size of the EVA aggregates can be controlled by varying the initial EVA concentration, the annealing time at room temperature and the cooling rate. We also showed that the decrease of the macroscopic pour point of a wax solution can be directly related to the microscopic size of the EVA aggregates in the solution. By controlling the particle size, we can vary the pour point or, in a more broad meaning, the flowing properties of a wax solution.
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